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#### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structures of bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium(IV) [Imma, $a=13.58$ (1), $b=8.14$ (1), $c=11.81$ (1) $\AA, Z=4, R=5.8 \%]$ and bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium(IV) $\left[P 2_{1} / n, a=13 \cdot 35(1), b=6.88\right.$ (1), $c=15 \cdot 38$ (1) $\AA, \beta=105 \cdot 6$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4, R=$ $4.4 \%$ ] have been determined from three-dimensional X-ray diffractometer data. In these compounds the structures consist of discrete molecules in which two cyclopentadienyls are $\pi$-bonded to titanium in a bent arrangement. Coordination is completed by two $\sigma$ ligands ( $\mathbf{X}$ ). A comparison of the data of the present analyses and those reported in the literature shows that, in the case of chelating ligands, the angle $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ is independent of the electron occupancy, but is influenced by the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ distances and the 'bite' distance. In the isothiocyanato derivative the two cyclopentadienyls have eclipsed conformations; in the maleonitriledithiolato derivative the conformation of the rings is midway (neither staggered nor eclipsed).


## Introduction

The chemical bonding in connexion with the molecular structure of bent bis- $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl-metalcomplexes has recently received much attention. In particular several crystal structure determinations of complexes of this kind have been published by Prout, Cameron, Forder, Critchley, Denton \& Rees (1974) [see also Green, Green \& Prout (1972)] who propose a new MO-model as an alternative to those previously given by Ballhausen \& Dahl (1961) and by Alcock (1967) to justify the observed bond angles formed by the $\sigma$-ligands with the metal, and the chemical properties of these compounds.

In the present paper, the crystal and molecular structures of two bent $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl-titanium(IV) derivatives are considered: one with the monodentate thiocyanate ligand, bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium, the other with the bidentate maleonitriledithiolate ligand, bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium. This study was carried out to give more information on the structural aspects of
these compounds, particularly examining the effects due to the presence of a bidentate ligand.

## Experimental

For both compounds crystal data were determined by Weissenberg and single-crystal diffractometry ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$, $\lambda=1 \cdot 5418 \AA$ ). Intensities were measured on an on-line Siemens AED diffractometer ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ ) using $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan technique. Data were reduced by Lorentz and polarization factors; no corrections for absorption were made.

The structures were solved by the heavy-atom method starting from three-dimensional Patterson functions. Refinements were carried out by means of least-squares calculations with anisotropic temperature factors for non-hydrogen atoms. All the hydrogen atoms were located on final Fourier syntheses and refined isotropically.
The atomic scattering factors used throughout the calculations were those of Cromer \& Mann (1968) for Ti, S, N, C, and those of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) for H.

Table 1. Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium: final atomic fractional coordinates and thermal parameters with their e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B_{11}$ or $B$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti | 0 | $0 \cdot 2500$ | 0.2064 (2) | $1 \cdot 94$ (7) | 2.53 (8) | $1 \cdot 74$ (6) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S | 0.2633 (2) | 0.2500 | -0.0647 (2) | 2.57 (8) | $5 \cdot 34$ (11) | $3 \cdot 37$ (9) | 0 | 0.89 (7) | 0 |
| N | 0.1088 (5) | 0.2500 | 0.0896 (6) | $3 \cdot 41$ (30) | $4 \cdot 89$ (37) | $3 \cdot 70$ (32) | 0 | $1 \cdot 14$ (27) | 0 |
| C(1) | 0.1742 (5) | 0.2500 | $0 \cdot 0253$ (6) | $2 \cdot 80$ (30) | 3.53 (36) | 2.70 (32) | 0 | 0.01 (28) | 0 |
| C(2) | 0 | -0.0373 (13) | $0 \cdot 1787$ (10) | 12.00 (98) | 2.97 (46) | 5.75 (61) | 0 | - | 0.06 (42) |
| C(3) | 0.0797 (6) | -0.0029 (10) | $0 \cdot 2419$ (8) | 6.42 (40) | $4 \cdot 85$ (35) | 8.01 (47) | 2.84 (36) | 2.05 (38) | $2 \cdot 54$ (38) |
| C(4) | $0 \cdot 0532$ (6) | 0.0636 (9) | 0.3458 (6) | 8.75 (47) | $4 \cdot 66$ (33) | $5 \cdot 42$ (35) | -0.83 (33) | -4.35 (34) | $1 \cdot 90$ (30) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 0 | -0.084 (14) | 0.089 (9) | 8.5 (3.0) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H(3) | 0.155 (5) | -0.027(10) | 0.216 (6) | $8.8(2.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium: final atomic fractional coordinates and thermal parameters with their e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti | $0 \cdot 0235$ (0) | 0.0009 (1) | $0 \cdot 2517$ (1) | 1.90 (2) | $2 \cdot 99$ (2) | $1 \cdot 86$ (2) | 0.08 (1) | 0.74 (1) | -0.10 (1) |
| S(1) | 0.0846 (1) | 0.3329 (1) | $0 \cdot 2946$ (1) | $1 \cdot 37$ (3) | 3.00 (3) | $2 \cdot 46$ (2) | -0.05 (2) | $0 \cdot 30$ (2) | 0.30 (2) |
| S(2) | $0 \cdot 1907$ (1) | -0.0810 (1) | $0 \cdot 3561$ (1) | $2 \cdot 40$ (3) | $3 \cdot 49$ (3) | $3 \cdot 15$ (3) | $0 \cdot 78$ (2) | $0 \cdot 53$ (2) | -0.23 (2) |
| N(1) | $0 \cdot 1572$ (3) | $0 \cdot 5509$ (5) | 0.5251 (2) | 6.67 (18) | 4.53 (14) | $4 \cdot 34$ (14) | 0.33 (13) | $0 \cdot 32$ (13) | -1.25 (12) |
| N(2) | $0 \cdot 3079$ (3) | 0.0532 (5) | $0 \cdot 5952$ (2) | $4 \cdot 32$ (13) | $5 \cdot 83$ (16) | 3.38 (11) | 0.71 (12) | -0.36 (10) | $0 \cdot 69$ (11) |
| (1) | $0 \cdot 1469$ (2) | $0 \cdot 2812$ (4) | $0 \cdot 4064$ (2) | 2.49 (9) | 3.45 (12) | $2 \cdot 60$ (10) | -0.32 (9) | $0 \cdot 44$ (8) | -0.10 (9) |
| (2) | $0 \cdot 1938$ (2) | $0 \cdot 1053$ (4) | 0.4324 (2) | $2 \cdot 10$ (9) | $3 \cdot 56$ (12) | $2 \cdot 87$ (10) | $0 \cdot 10$ (9) | $0 \cdot 39$ (8) | 0.21 (9) |
| C(3) | $0 \cdot 1530$ (3) | $0 \cdot 4296$ (5) | $0 \cdot 4723$ (2) | $3 \cdot 68$ (13) | 3.72 (14) | $3 \cdot 10$ (11) | 0.43 (11) | 0.25 (10) | $0 \cdot 13$ (10) |
| C(4) | $0 \cdot 2557$ (2) | $0 \cdot 0762$ (5) | 0.5236 (2) | $2 \cdot 90$ (11) | $3 \cdot 92$ (13) | $3 \cdot 29$ (11) | -0.01 (10) | 0.53 (9) | -0.08 (10) |
| C(11) | -0.0467 (3) | -0.0092 (8) | $0 \cdot 0948$ (2) | $3 \cdot 87$ (15) | 12.09 (36) | 1.90 (11) | $1 \cdot 90$ (18) | 0.36 (10) | -0.96 (15) |
| C(12) | 0.0369 (4) | $0 \cdot 1210$ (6) | $0 \cdot 1111$ (2) | 8.95 (27) | 5.02 (18) | $2 \cdot 65$ (12) | $1 \cdot 17$ (18) | 2.58 (14) | 0.26 (12) |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 1270$ (3) | $0 \cdot 0153$ (6) | $0 \cdot 1467$ (2) | $4 \cdot 74$ (16) | $6 \cdot 20$ (20) | $3 \cdot 52$ (13) | -1.63 (14) | 2.51 (13) | -1.07 (13) |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 1015$ (3) | -0.1763 (5) | $0 \cdot 1540$ (2) | $4 \cdot 71$ (15) | $4 \cdot 74$ (16) | $3 \cdot 77$ (13) | 0.97 (13) | $2 \cdot 19$ (12) | -0.38 (12) |
| C(15) | -0.0061 (3) | -0.1940 (6) | $0 \cdot 1217$ (2) | $5 \cdot 60$ (18) | 6.75 (22) | 3.73 (13) | -2.73 (16) | 2.43 (13) | -2.49 (14) |
| C(21) | -0.1519 (3) | -0.0744 (10) | $0 \cdot 2386$ (3) | $2 \cdot 51$ (13) | 14.93 (44) | 4.02 (15) | -2.75 (20) | $0 \cdot 86$ (12) | $0 \cdot 81$ (22) |
| C(22) | -0.0933 (4) | -0.2216 (7) | $0 \cdot 2872$ (4) | 8.00 (26) | 4.92 (20) | $10 \cdot 00$ (31) | -2.65 (19) | 7.04 (26) | -2.08 (20) |
| C(23) | -0.0384 (3) | -0.1430 (8) | 0.3683 (3) | $3 \cdot 44$ (14) | 10.73 (34) | $5 \cdot 29$ (18) | $0 \cdot 96$ (18) | 2.04 (13) | 4.41 (21) |
| C(24) | -0.0622 (3) | $0 \cdot 0473$ (7) | $0 \cdot 3672$ (3) | $5 \cdot 20$ (18) | $8 \cdot 14$ (26) | $5 \cdot 78$ (19) | -2.96 (18) | $4 \cdot 16$ (17) | -3.13 (19) |
| C(25) | -0.1313 (3) | $0 \cdot 0911$ (7) | $0 \cdot 2868$ (4) | $4 \cdot 22$ (17) | 6.59 (24) | 11.94 (36) | $2 \cdot 40$ (17) | $5 \cdot 42$ (22) | $3 \cdot 65$ (24) |

Table 2 (cont.)

| $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $2 / C$ | $B$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)-0 \cdot 120$ (4) | 0.026 (7) | 0.070 (3) | $7 \cdot 7$ (1-3) |
| H(12) 0.036 (4) | $0 \cdot 272$ (8) | 0.095 (3) | $7 \cdot 9(1 \cdot 2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(13) \quad 0.204$ (4) | $0 \cdot 077$ (7) | $0 \cdot 169$ (3) | $7 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 2)$ |
| H(14) 0.153 (3) | -0.292 (7) | $0 \cdot 180$ (3) | $7 \cdot 4(1 \cdot 2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)-0.050$ (3) | -0.322 (6) | $0 \cdot 123$ (3) | $6 \cdot 1(1 \cdot 0)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)-0.204$ (4) | -0.092 (8) | $0 \cdot 181$ (3) | $9 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)-0.088$ (4) | -0.361 (7) | $0 \cdot 268$ (3) | $7 \cdot 8(1 \cdot 2)$ |
| H(23) 0.012 (4) | -0.225 (7) | $0 \cdot 423$ (3) | $7 \cdot 4(1 \cdot 2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(24)-0.034$ (4) | $0 \cdot 159$ (8) | 0.419 (4) | $10 \cdot 3$ (1.6) |
| $\mathrm{H}(25)-0 \cdot 158$ (4) | $0 \cdot 234$ (10) | $0 \cdot 271$ (4) | $10 \cdot 2(1 \cdot 6)$ |

All calculations were performed on the CDC 6600 computer of the Centro di Calcolo interuniversitario dell'Italia Nord-Orientale (Bologna).
(1) Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium(IV)

Orthorhombic dark red prismatic crystals were obtained as described by Giddings (1967). Crystal data are: $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}, M=294 \cdot 1, a=13 \cdot 58$ (1), $b=$ $8 \cdot 14$ (1), $c=11 \cdot 81$ (1) $\AA, V=1306 \AA^{3}, D_{m}=1 \cdot 43, D_{c}=$ $1.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=4, F(000)=600, \mu=84.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ ), space group Ima 2 or Imma (the latter confirmed by structural analysis).

Three-dimensional intensity data were taken at room temperature from a crystal of dimensions $0.12 \times 0.14 \times$ 0.22 mm mounted around its elongation ( $b$ axis). A total of 695 independent reflexions were measured $\left(6^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 140^{\circ}\right)$ and 635 having $I>2 \sigma(I)$ were used in the crystal analysis. The refinement was carried out by means of full-matrix least squares, minimizing the function $\sum w|\Delta F|^{2}$ with unit weights. The final conventional $R$ value is $5 \cdot 8 \%$.

## (2) Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium(IV)

The compound was prepared following Locke \& McCleverty (1966). Monoclinic dark green crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystal-
lization from acetone-methanol. Crystal data are: $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}, \quad M=318 \cdot 2, \quad a=13 \cdot 35$ (1), $b=$ $6 \cdot 88$ (1), $c=15 \cdot 38$ (1) $\AA, \beta=105 \cdot 6$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, V=1360 \AA^{3}$, $D_{m}=1.54, D_{c}=1.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z=4, F(000)=648$, $\mu=81 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)$, space group $P 2_{1} / n$.

A prismatic crystal $(0.11 \times 0.16 \times 0.27 \mathrm{~mm})$ was mounted around its elongation ( $b$ axis) and the intensities of 2587 independent reflexions were measured ( $6^{\circ} \leq 20 \leq 140^{\circ}$ ); 2233 of them with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ were used in the analysis.
The structure was refined by means of block-diagonal least squares minimizing the function $\sum w|\Delta F|^{2}$ at first with unit weights, then applying the weighting scheme proposed by Cruickshank (1965): $w^{-1}=A+B\left|F_{o}\right|+$ $C\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}$ with $A=0.2084, B=0.03424, C=0.001406$, deduced from the $\langle | \Delta F\rangle v s,|F|$ distribution (Stout \& Jensen, 1968). The final conventional $R$ value is $4 \cdot 4 \%$.

## Results

The final positions and thermal parameters for atoms are quoted in Tables 1 and 2.* Copies of the tables of observed and calculated structure factors are available from the authors on request. The most relevant bond distances and angles and equations for molecular planes are given in Tables 3 to 5. All the average values have been calculated using the formulae:

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{av}}=\sum_{i} \begin{gathered}
\mu_{i} \\
\sigma_{i}^{2}
\end{gathered} / \sum_{i}^{1} \sigma_{i}^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{av}}=\sqrt{\sum_{i}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$ are the individual observations and $\sigma_{i}$ are the standard deviations for them.
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## Discussion

The molecular structures of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 respec-
tively. Both compounds consist of monomeric units in which two cyclopentadienyl ligands are $\pi$-bonded to titanium in a bent arrangement and the group of atoms $\mathrm{TiX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\sigma$-bonded ligand atoms) lies in a plane

Table 3. Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium: interatomic distances and bond angles

| Ti-C(2) | 2.361 (11) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.34 (1) $\AA$ A | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 13$ (11) $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti-C(3) | 2.363 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 39$ (1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 1.09 (7) |
| Ti-C(4) | 2.353 (8) | C (4)-C'(4) | $1 \cdot 45$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 1.03 (7) |
| Average | $2 \cdot 358$ (4) | Average | 1.370 (5) | Average | 1.07 (3) |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 33.1 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 111.3 (8) ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $34 \cdot 2$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(4)$ | $105 \cdot 0$ (7) |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(4)$ | $35 \cdot 8$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(3)$ | $107 \cdot 3$ (1.0) |  |
|  | Average | 34.4 (1) | Average | $107 \cdot 7$ (3) |  |
|  | Ti-N | 2.021 (7) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 93.9 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 17$ (1) | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $177 \cdot 5$ (6) |  |
|  | S-C(1) | $1 \cdot 611$ (7) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ | 179.2 (7) |  |

Table 4. Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium: interatomic distances and bond angles

| Ti-C(11) | $2 \cdot 343$ (4) | [2.385 (3)] $\AA^{*}$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 2.353 (5) $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2 \cdot 366$ (4) | [2.402 (4)] | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2 \cdot 352$ (6) |
| Ti-C(13) | $2 \cdot 393$ (5) | [2.419 (4)] | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2 \cdot 356$ (6) |
| Ti-C(14) | $2 \cdot 381$ (4) | [2.403 (4)] | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $2 \cdot 379$ (6) |
| Ti C (15) | $2 \cdot 351$ (4) | [2.383 (4)] | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 2.355(5) |
| Average | 2.365 (2) | [2.397 (1)] | Average | $2 \cdot 358$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.400 (7) | [1.446 (7)] | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.372 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.386 (6) | [1.431 (6)] | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.391 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1 \cdot 374$ (6) | [1-416 (5)] | C(23)-C(24) | 1.358 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1 \cdot 393$ (6) | [1-441 (6)] | C(24)-C(25) | $1 \cdot 363$ (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1 \cdot 400$ (7) | [1-443 (7)] | C(25)-C(21) | $1 \cdot 346$ (8) |
| Average | $1 \cdot 390$ (3) | [1-433 (3)] | Average | $1 \cdot 367$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $0 \cdot 98$ (5) |  | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | 0.98 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 1.07 (5) |  | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 1.01 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 1.08 (5) |  | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 1.06 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 1.06 (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24)$ | $1 \cdot 10$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 1.06 (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25)$ | 1.05 (7) |
| Average | 1.05 (2) |  | Average | 1.03 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.455 (3) |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.426 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\ldots \mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.439 (3) |  | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 438$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.734 (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 155$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.731 (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 145$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 372$ (4) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 34.6 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Ti} \mathrm{C}(22)$ | 33.9 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $34 \cdot 7$ (2) |  | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $33 \cdot 2$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $33 \cdot 9$ (2) |  | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 34.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 33.4 (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $33 \cdot 3$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $34 \cdot 2$ (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $33 \cdot 5$ (2) |
| Average | 34.0 (1) |  | Average | 33.7 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $107 \cdot 5$ (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $107 \cdot 6$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $109 \cdot 1$ (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $106 \cdot 2$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 108.0 (3) |  | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $109 \cdot 8$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $107 \cdot 8$ (3) |  | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 107.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $107 \cdot 5$ (4) |  | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 108.8 (4) |
| Average | 108.0 (2) |  | Average | 108.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 81.9 (1) |  | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 117.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $96 \cdot 4$ (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119 \cdot 4$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 97.2 (1) |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120 \cdot 3$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 122.0 (2) |  | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $179 \cdot 3$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 118.6 (2) |  | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 177.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 122.0 (2) |  |  |  |

* The values in brackets are corrected for rigid-body libration following Schomaker \& Trueblood (1968).

Table 5. Equations of least-squares planes and distances $(\AA)$ from these planes

Atoms not included in the least-squares plane equations are starred.
Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)diisothiocyanatotitanium
$\pi$-Cyclopentadienyl ring $0.9196 y-0.3929 z=-1.1308$

| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.023 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -0.013 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0.002 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{T} i}$ | 2.044 |

Bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)maleonitriledithiolatotitanium $\dagger$
$\pi$-Cyclopentadienyl ring 1
$0.3511 X-0.1690 Y-0.9209 Z=-1.6409$

| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.002 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 0.004 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -0.003 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.001 |
| $* \mathrm{Ti}$ | -2.049 |

$\pi$-Cyclopentadienyl ring 2
$0.8856 X+0.2176 Y-0.4103 Z=-4.2256$

| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -0.006 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -0.007 |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -0.016 |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 0.016 |
| $* \mathrm{Ti}$ | 2.053 |

Maleonitriledithiolate
$0.9313 X+0.3350 Y-0.1431 Z=0.0587$

| S(1) | 0.001 | * C (3) | 0.013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(2) | -0.001 | * C (4) | $0 \cdot 169$ |
| C(1) | -0.011 | *N(1) | 0.030 |
| C(2) | 0.011 | *N(2) | 0.337 |

$\dagger$ The transformation matrix from monoclinic $x, y, z$ to orthogonal $X, Y, Z$ coordinates is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & \cos \beta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sin \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

at right angles to that containing the normals to the cyclopentadienyl rings at the metal atom. Considering each of these normals as a coordination site, the coordination polyhedron can be seen as a severely distorted tetrahedron in both compounds. The distortion is indicated by the values of the angles $\mathrm{Cp}_{1}-\mathrm{Ti}_{2}-\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ ( $133.7^{\circ}$ and $130.7^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ respectively) and X-Ti-X ( $93.9^{\circ}$ and $81.9^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ respectively.

Crystallographic requirements impose a $C_{20}$ symmetry for the $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ molecule. The same symmetry approximately holds for the coordination polyhedron in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, as the lines bisecting the angles S-Ti-S and $\mathrm{Cp}_{1}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ are nearly coincident. For this last compound the symmetry of the molecule as a whole is only $C_{1}$, the best plane of the maleonitriledithiolate ligand being tilted by $43^{\circ}$ with respect to the $\mathrm{TiS}_{2}$ plane.

The differences in the titanium-carbon distances (Tables 3 and 4) are small enough to consider a pentahapto coordination mode in both compounds. The mean $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}$ values are in agreement with those found
in other bis(cyclopentadienyl)titanium complexes, e.g. $2 \cdot 375,2.398 \AA$ in ethylene-1,2-dithiolato-di-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium (Kutoglu, 1973), $2 \cdot 37 \AA$ in ben-zene-1,2-dithiolato-di-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium (Kutoglu, 1972), $2 \cdot 374$ in di-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium pentasulphide (Epstein, Bernal \& Köpf, 1971). These distances are influenced by thermal motion or disorder, by which cyclopentadienyls are affected. These effects justify the fact that the carbon-carbon ring distances have values a little lower [mean values: $1 \cdot 37 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and $1 \cdot 39,1 \cdot 37 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ ] than that ( $1.43 \AA$ ) usually accepted for these distances (Wheatley, 1967). Attempts to correct for thermal motion using the Schomaker \& Trueblood (1968) treatment succeeded in giving significant results only for one ring, $\mathrm{C}(11) \cdots \mathrm{C}(15)$, of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, for which the corrected mean $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ring }}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ring }}$ distance rises to the expected value of $1.43 \AA$. For the other rings which are affected by a greater ap-


Fig. 1. $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$. Clinographic projection of the molecule.


Fig. 2. $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. Clinographic projection of the molecule.
parent thermal motion, no meaningful results were obtained, indicating that they are probably affected by disorder.

The metal-to-ring normal distances $[2.04 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and $2.05 \AA$ for $\left.\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right]$, which are less affected by thermal motion or disorder than the carbon-metal distances, are in agreement with the values quoted in Table 6 for other $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ complexes. This table shows that the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{X}$ angle considerably decreases in the compounds where the X 's belong to chelating five-membered ligands, with respect to the compounds where the X 's belong to monodentate ligands. In these last compounds the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{X}$ angles are close to those found in the series $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{MX}_{2}$ when the metal is a $d^{0}$ system (Green et al., 1972). Con-


Fig. 3. $\left(\pi-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. Projection of the molecule onto the plane perpendicular to the ring(centroid) 1 -Ti-ring(centroid) ${ }_{2}$ plane.
sidering the compounds with chelating ligands it is found that, when the chelation ring is five-membered, the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{X}$ angle falls in the range $78-83^{\circ}$, which corresponds to that observed for $d^{2}$ systems with monodentate ligands. It is worth observing that the geometry of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, in which the 'bite' distance is $3 \cdot 208$ (4) $\AA$, is quite close to that of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ (toluene-3,4dithiolato) molybdenum where the metal is a $d^{2}$ system $\left[\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{S}=2.433 \AA, \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{S}=82 \cdot 4^{\circ}\right.$, 'bite' distance $=$ $3 \cdot 21 \AA$, Knox \& Prout (1969)], which indicates that in the case of chelating ligands, the angle $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ is independent of the electron occupancy, but is influenced by the M-X distances and the 'bite' distance.
The cyclopentadienyl rings are planar in both compounds as may be seen from the data quoted in Table 5. Their mutual orientation is eclipsed for symmetry requirements in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ and intermediate stag-gered-eclipsed in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. To obtain a more accurate description of the conformation of the bis(cyclopentadienyl) systems the angle $\varphi$ is considered, formed by a vector running through a vertex and the centroid of one ring and the corresponding vector of the other ring when the rings are projected onto the plane perpendicular to the ring(centroid) $)_{1}$-Ti-ring(centroid) $)_{2}$ plane. This angle, which is $0^{\circ}$ or $36^{\circ}$ for eclipsed or staggered conformations respectively, assumes a value of $21^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ (Fig. 3). Although the conformations of these systems are mainly determined by crystal packing effects, as indicated by the increase of $\varphi$ to $33^{\circ}$ in the parent compound $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Kutoglu, 1973), intramolecular effects are also important, as can be seen by comparing the ligand-ring and ring-ring contacts in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ and in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ (Figs. 1 and 2). In this last compound the presence of the smaller X ligand atoms allows for the eclipsed conformation with a reduction of the lig and-ring repulsions. This reduction causes an enlarge-

Table 6. Comparison of some structural parameters for bis-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium complexes
(1) $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiX}_{2}$ complexes with X's belonging to chelating ligands

| $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{TiX}_{2}$ complexes with X 's belonging to chelating ligands |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Ti-Cp (A) | Ti-X ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{X}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | Ref. |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ | 2.051 (av) | 2.447 (av) | $130 \cdot 7$ | $81 \cdot 9$ | $a$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | 2.06 (av) | 2.416 (av) | 129.9 (av) | $82 \cdot 2$ (av) | $b$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2}(\mathrm{CH})_{2}$ | 2.068 (av) | $2 \cdot 417$ (av) | $130 \cdot 9$ | $83 \cdot 2$ | $c$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ | 2.04 (av) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.20(\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}) \\ & 1.95 \text { (Ti-O) } \end{aligned}$ | 134 | 78 | $d$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{4}{ }^{*}$ | 2.068 (av) | $2 \cdot 435$ (av) | $133 \cdot 7$ | $94 \cdot 6$ | $e$ |
| $\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{TiX}_{2}$ complexes with X 's belonging to monodentate ligands |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}(\AA)$ | Ti-X (Å) | $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | X-Ti-X ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ | 2.044 | 2.021 | $133 \cdot 7$ | $93 \cdot 9$ | $a$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCO})_{2}$ | 2.055 (av) | 2.012 (av) | - | 94.7 | $f$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ | - | 2.36 (av) | 129.4 (av) | 95.2 (av) | $g$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right] \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ | 2.07 (av) | 2.328 (av) | 130 | $94 \cdot 8$ | h |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right] \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ | 2.060 (av) | 2.368 (av) | $132 \cdot 6$ | $93 \cdot 7$ | $i$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ | - | 2.27 | 136 | $97 \cdot 3$ | $j$ |

* In this compound the large $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{X}$ angle is justified by the presence of a six-membered chelation ring with a 'bite' distance of $3 \cdot 58 \AA$.
(a) Present work. (b) Kutoglu (1972). (c) Kutoglu (1973). (d) Aleksandrov \& Struchkov (1971). (e) Epstein, Bernal \& Köpf (1971). (f) Anderson et al. (1974). (g) Tkachev \& Atomyan (1972). (h) Khotsyanova \& Kuznetsov (1973). (i) Davis \& Bernal (1971). (j) Kocman, Rucklidge, O’Brien \& Santo (1971).
ment of the angle between the normals to the rings and enlargements of the intramolecular distances involving the ends of the rings opposite to the X ligands.

In $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ the two Ti-S distances [2.455 (3) and $2 \cdot 439$ (3) $\AA$ ] are not significantly different. Their mean value $[2.447(2) \AA$ ] is significantly larger than the values found in ethylene-1,2-dithiolato-di-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium [ 2.417 (1) $\AA$ ] (Kutoglu, 1973) and benzene-1,2-dithiolato-di-( $\pi$-cyclopentadienyl)titanium [2-416 (4) Å] (Kutoglu, 1972). Also the C-C distance in the chelation ring is significantly longer than that of 1.342 (4) $\AA$ found in $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiS}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$. However, these lengthenings can hardly be justified by the electronwithdrawing character of the CN groups, since the C-C distances involving these groups correspond well to the expected value $[1.430(5) ~ \AA]$ for a single $\mathrm{C}_{s p}-\mathrm{C}_{s p}$ bond (Fritchie, 1966). The S-C distances are not spignificantly different; they are in the range $1 \cdot 69-1.77 \AA$ as is reported in the literature for dithiolate ligands and their values indicate some double-bond character. The maleonitriledithiolate ligand is not planar: the group of atoms $\mathrm{S}(1), \mathrm{S}(2), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ lies in a plane, but the two CN groups are significantly out of this plane on the same side (Table 5). Also the metal atom does not lie in that plane which forms a dihedral angle of $41^{\circ}$ with the $\mathrm{TiS}_{2}$ plane.

The structure analysis of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}$ confirms that the compound contains N -bonded thiocyanate groups, as found on the basis of infrared studies (Burmeister, Deardorff, Jensen \& Christiansen, 1970). The Ti-N distance $[2.021$ (7) $\AA$ ] is in agreement with those found in the corresponding cyanato complex [2.018 (3), 2.007 (3) A] (Anderson, Brown \& Norbury, 1974). As observed for this last compound, the Ti-N-C-S group is close to linear, the bond angles $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}$ being $177.5(6)^{\circ}$ and $179 \cdot 2$ (7) ${ }^{\circ}$ respectively. Bond distances in the thiocyanate group are in agreement with those usually found in the isothiocyanate complexes (e.g. Mokuolu \& Speakman, 1975; Cannas, Carta \& Marongiu, 1974; Cannas, Carta, Cristini \& Marongiu, 1974).

Packing is determined by van der Waals contacts. The intermolecular distances less than $3.5 \AA$ are:

The authors wish to thank Professors L. Cavalca and M. Nardelli for their interest in this work and Dr C. Pelizzi who prepared the crystals of the compounds.
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